AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Central District of California

Central Distri	ct of California
DAVID HOUGH; SEE ATTACHED Plaintiff(s) v. RYAN CARROLL; SEE ATTACHED))))) Civil Action No. 2:24-cv-02886-WLH-SK))
Defendant(s)	
SUMMONS IN A	A CIVIL ACTION
To: Ryan Carroll, Yax Ecommerce LLC, WA Amazon Seller LLC, WA Distr 7th Ave, Unit 2502, Miami, Florida 33137. Max K. Day, Precision Trading Group, LLC, Providence Oak Properties, MKD Family Private Management Company, LLC, Max Day Consulting, Advisory LLC, Evo Maxx LLC, and Yax IP and Management Inc., each a	LLC, MKD Investment Advisor, LLC, MKD Family Beneficiary, LLC, LLC, HouTex Farm Equity Partners LLC, Business Financial Solutions
Max O. Day at the address 3118 Brandon Hill Lane, Sugar Land, Texas 7	7479.
Michael Day and WWKB LLC, at the address 25010 Ginger Ranch	Drive, Katy, Texas 77494:
A lawsuit has been filed against you.	
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answ the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion name and address are:	ver to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of
Nico Banks (attorney for Plaintiffs) 712 H. St. NE Unit 8517 Washington, DC 20002	
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be er You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	ntered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. **CLERK OF COURT** **CLERK OF COURT** **THE DISTRICT COURT**
4/15/2024 Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

```
Nico Banks, Esq.
   nico@bankslawoffice.com
  Filing on behalf of all Plaintiffs
  CA Bar No. 344705
   Banks Law Office
  712 H St NE,
   Unit #8571,
   Washington, DC 20002
   Tel.: 971-678-0036
6
7
8
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                  CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9
  DAVID HOUGH;
                                   ) Case No.: 2:24-cv-02886
10
   MOULOUD HOCINE;
11
  JENNIFER LEHMKUHL HILL;
                                   ) COMPLAINT FOR:
  AMUND THOMPSON;
                                       1. FRAUD CONSPIRACY
   PAUL PANICO
13
                                       2. FRAUDULENT TRANSFERS
                       Plaintiffs,
                                          IN FURTHERANCE OF
14
                                          CONSPIRACY
       VS.
15
                                       3. CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE
16
                                          BUSINESS AND
   RYAN CARROLL;
                                          PROFESSIONS CODE § 17200
17
   MAX K. DAY;
                                       4. VIOLATIONS OF
  MAX O. DAY;
18
   MICHAEL DAY;
                                          SECURITIES LAWS
19
  YAX ECOMMERCE LLC;
  PRECISION TRADING GROUP, LLC;
                                   ) DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
20
   WA DISTRIBUTION LLC;
  PROVIDENCE OAK PROPERTIES,
  LLC;
22
   WA AMAZON SELLER LLC;
  MKD INVESTMENT ADVISOR, LLC;
  MKD FAMILY BENEFICIARY, LLC;
   MKD FAMILY PRIVATE
25
  MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LLC;
  MAX DAY CONSULTING, LLC;
   HOUTEX FARM EQUITY PARTNERS
27
   LLC:
28
```

COMPLAINT

BUSINESS FINANCIAL SOLUTIONS ADVISORY LLC; **EVO MAXX LLC**; YAX IP AND MANAGEMENT INC. (D.B.A. "FULFILLABLE"); WWKB LLC: DREAMS TO REALITY LLC; Defendants. 6 7 8 9 Plaintiffs—Molund Hocine; Jennifer Lehmkuhl Hill; Amund Thompson; 10 David Hough; and Paul Panico—by and through their undersigned attorney, hereby 11 bring this action against Defendants—Ryan Carroll; Max K. Day; Max O. Day; 12 13 Michael Day; Yax Ecommerce LLC; Precision Trading Group, LLC; WA 14 Distribution LLC; Providence Oak Properties, LLC; WA Amazon Seller LLC; MKD 15 Investment Advisor, LLC; MKD Family Beneficiary, LLC; MKD Family Private 16 17 Management Company, LLC; Max Day Consulting, LLC; HouTex Farm Equity 18 Partners LLC; Business Financial Solutions Advisory LLC; Evo Maxx LLC; Yax IP 19 20 and Management Inc; Dreams To Reality LLC; and WWKB LLC—and allege as 21 follows: 22 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE** 23 1. Plaintiffs invoke the diversity jurisdiction of the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 24 25 1332 because no Plaintiffs in this action reside in the same state as any 26 Defendants, and the amount in controversy in each Plaintiff's claim exceeds 27 28 \$75,000.

COMPLAINT